

LOYR, APC

1 YOUNG W. RYU, ESQ. (SBN 266372)

young.ryu@loywr.com

2 JOSHUA PARK, ESQ. (SBN 299572)

joshua.park@loywr.com

3 HENNA H. CHOI, ESQ. (SBN 306254)

henna.choi@loywr.com

4 1055 West 7th Street, Suite 2290

Los Angeles, California 90017

5 Telephone: (213) 318 – 5323

6 Facsimile: (800) 576 – 1170

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff DYLAN YEISER-FODNESS

8 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

9 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

11 DYLAN YEISER-FODNESS, an
12 individual,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 MASTER DOG TRAINING, a
16 California corporation; 5 STAR K-9
17 ACADEMY, INC., a California
18 corporation; EKATERINA KOROTUN,
19 an individual; and DOES 1 through
20 25, inclusive,

21 Defendants.

Case No.: 22STCV21852

*[Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon.
Armen Tamzarian, Dept. 52]*

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO
DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF LEGAL
IMPOSSIBILITY TO FILE AN
OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY
RESPONSES**

Date: January 19, 2023

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Place: Dept. 52

Complaint Filed: July 6, 2022

Trial Date: None set

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. INTRODUCTION.....3

II. ARGUMENT.....3

 A. Defendants' Argument of Legal Impossibility Is Groundless.....3

III. CONCLUSION.....6

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Cases

Garcia v. Politis, (2nd Dist. 2011) 192 Cal.App.4th 1474.....4

Sporn v. Home Depot USA, Inc., (4th Dist. 2005), 126 Cal.App.4th 1294.....4

Statutes

Code Civ. Proc. § 473.....3, 4

1 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

2 Plaintiff Dylan Yeiser-Fodness (“Plaintiff”) submits the following
3 Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of his Reply to Defendants Master
4 Dog Training, 5 Star K-9 Academy, Inc., (“5 Star”) and Ekaterina Korotun (“Korotun”)
5 (collectively “Defendants”) Notice of Legal Impossibility to File an Opposition to
6 Plaintiff’s Motion to Compel Discovery Responses (the “Notice”).

7 **I. INTRODUCTION**

8 This action was filed on July 6, 2022. On August 17, 2022, Plaintiff served his
9 First Written Discovery Requests upon the Defendants via Certified Mail. The last
10 day for Defendants to respond to the First Written Discovery Requests was
11 September 21, 2022. On September 21, 2022, Plaintiff filed Requests for Entries of
12 Default (the “Requests”) as to Defendants Korotun and 5 Star. Those Requests were
13 granted and defaults were entered against Korotun and 5 Star on October 3, 2022.
14 On December 28, 2022, Plaintiff filed his Motion to Compel Discovery Responses from
15 Defendants 5 Star and Korotun (the “Motion”). On December 30, 2022, Defendants
16 filed their Notice of Legal Impossibility to File an Opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion (the
17 “Notice”). Plaintiff hereby submits his Memorandum of Points and Authorities in
18 support of his Reply to Defendants’ Notice.

19 **II. ARGUMENT**

20 In sum, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant his Motion for the
21 reasons articulated below.

22 **A. Defendants’ Argument of Legal Impossibility Is Groundless**

23 Rather than filing an Opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion, Defendants’ claim that
24 “the clerk’s entry of default cuts off the defendant’s right to take further affirmative
25 steps, such as filing a pleading or motion except motion to set aside default.” (Def’s
26 Notice at 1.) While this claim is true, it does not apply here to prevent Defendant’s
27 filing an Opposition.
28

1 Defendants cite to two cases: *Garcia v. Politis*, (2nd Dist. 2011) 192
2 Cal.App.4th 1474 [Defendants do not provide a complete citation, nor a pin cite], and
3 *Sporn v. Home Depot USA, Inc.*, (4th Dist. 2005), 126 Cal.App.4th 1294, 1301.

4 *Garcia* concerned the question of whether “a plaintiff who obtains a default
5 judgment by written declaration entitled to seek statutory attorney fees by means of
6 a postjudgment motion.” (192 Cal.App.4th at 1476.) The answer was “no.” (*Id.*) The
7 case did not discuss a *Defendant’s* ability to file motions post-default, and certainly
8 did not address whether a Defendant could *oppose* any such motion filed by a
9 Plaintiff.

10 *Sporn* does contain the above-quoted statement in Defendants’ Notice—but it
11 does not support its application in this instance. *Sporn* concerned a Defendant who
12 filed a motion to set aside default after the statutory deadline. (126 Cal.App.4th at
13 1297.) The Defendant’s motion was denied, Defendant appealed, and the Court
14 affirmed the denial. (*Id.*) The above-quoted statement was made in support of the
15 claim that, post-default, the defaulted party was not entitled to further notice of any
16 motions or other papers. (*Id.* at 1301.) But while the quoted language does prevent
17 Defendant from taking any “*affirmative* steps, such as filing a pleading or motion,”
18 (emphasis added), it does not speak to a Defendant’s ability to take the *responsive*
19 step of *opposing* a motion.

20 III. CONCLUSION

21 For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant
22 his Motion in its entirety.


23 Respectfully submitted,

24
25
26
27
28 [Signatures on next page.]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: January 11, 2023

LOYR, APC



Young W. Ryu, Esq.
Joshua Park, Esq.
Henna H. Choi, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff DYLAN YEISER-
FODNESS

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am over 18 years old and not a party to this action. My business address is 1055 West 7th Street, Suite 2290, Los Angeles, California 90017.

On January 11, 2023, I served the following documents in a sealed envelope on the interested party as follows:

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF LEGAL IMPOSSIBILITY TO FILE AN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RESPONSES

Natalia Foley
nfoleylaw@gmail.com
LAW OFFICES OF NATALIA FOLEY
751 S Weir Canyon Rd Ste 157-455
Anaheim CA 92808

Attorney for Defendants


BY U.S. MAIL:

I enclosed the foregoing document in a sealed envelope to the interest parties at the address listed above and deposited the sealed envelope for collection and mailing following my firm's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with my firm's business practices for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit.

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE:

My electronic service address is martha.gutierrez@loywr.com. Per the parties' agreement, through their respective counsel, to accept electronic service and pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6, I served the foregoing document on the interested party at the electronic service addresses (e-mail addresses) listed above and did not receive Notice of Failure

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on January 11, 2023, in Los Angeles, California.



Martha Gutierrez

LOYR, APC
1055 West 7th Street, Suite 2290
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Tel.: (213) 318-5323 | Fax: (800) 576-1170

1 **LOYR, APC**
YOUNG W. RYU, ESQ. (SBN 266372)
young.ryu@loywr.com
2 JOSHUA PARK, ESQ. (SBN 299572)
joshua.park@loywr.com
3 HENNA H. CHOI, ESQ. (SBN 306254)
henna.choi@loywr.com
4 1055 West 7th Street, Suite 2290
Los Angeles, California 90017
5 Telephone: (213) 318 – 5323
6 Facsimile: (800) 576 – 1170

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff DYLAN YEISER-FODNESS

8 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
9 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

11 DYLAN YEISER-FODNESS, an
12 individual,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 MASTER DOG TRAINING, a
16 California corporation; 5 STAR K-9
ACADEMY, INC., a California
17 corporation; EKATERINA KOROTUN,
18 an individual; and DOES 1 through
25, inclusive,

19 Defendants.

Case No.: 22STCV21852

*[Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon.
Armen Tamzarian, Dept. 52]*

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO SET
ASIDE DEFAULT**

Date: January 26, 2023
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Place: Dept. 52

Complaint Filed: July 6, 2022
Trial Date: None set

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. INTRODUCTION.....3

II. ARGUMENT.....3

A. Defendants’ Motion Is Untimely.....3

**B. The Defaults Were Not Entered Due to Surprise, Inadvertence,
 Or Excusable Neglect.....4**

C. Defendants Had Actual Notice of the Action.....5

III. CONCLUSION.....6

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Statutes

Code Civ. Proc. § 473.....3, 4

1 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

2 Plaintiff Dylan Yeiser-Fodness (“Plaintiff”) submits the following
3 Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of his Opposition to Defendants
4 Master Dog Training, 5 Star K-9 Academy, Inc., (“5 Star”) and Ekaterina Korotun
5 (“Korotun”) (collectively “Defendants”) Motion to Set Aside Default.

6 **I. INTRODUCTION**

7 This action was filed on July 6, 2022. On September 21, 2022, Plaintiff filed
8 Requests for Entries of Default (the “Requests”) as to Defendants Korotun and 5 Star.
9 Those Requests were granted and defaults were entered against Korotun and 5 Star
10 on October 3, 2022. Plaintiff sent courtesy copies of the granted defaults to Defense
11 Counsel on October 6, 2022, despite the fact that Defense Counsel had not actually
12 appeared in this case nor confirmed her representation of Defendants. Nevertheless,
13 Defendants filed an Answer to Plaintiff’s Complaint on October 11, 2022. On
14 December 30, 2022, Defendants filed their Motion to Set Aside the Defaults (“the
15 Motion”). Plaintiff hereby submits his Memorandum of Points and Authorities in
16 support of his Opposition to Defendants’ Motion. Plaintiff respectfully requests the
17 Court to deny Defendants’ Motion in its entirety.

18 **II. ARGUMENT**

19 In sum, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to deny Defendants’ Motion
20 in its entirety for the reasons articulated below.

21 **A. Defendants’ Motion Is Untimely**

22 Under Code Civ. Proc. § 473(b), a motion to set aside default must be filed
23 “within a reasonable time, in no case exceeding six months, after the judgment,
24 dismissal, order, or proceeding was taken.” Here, the Defaults were entered on
25 October 3, 2022. Plaintiff provided Defendants with notice of the Defaults on October
26 6, 2022. Yet Defendants did not file their Motion until December 30, 2022—eighty-
27 five (85) days after receiving notice.

1 Defendants' Motion states that it is timely because it was filed "within 30 days
2 from the moment of actual notice of default received by the Defendants' attorney
3 during the hearing on 11/30/2022." (Motion at 5.) This is false. As stated above and
4 shown by **Exhibit A**, Defendants had actual notice of the entries of default as of
5 October 6, 2022. There is thus no sufficient justification for Defendants' nearly three-
6 month delay in filing their Motion.

7 The Court should accordingly deny Defendants' motion because Defendants
8 have failed to establish that their Motion was timely.

9 **B. The Defaults Were Not Entered Due to Surprise, Inadvertence,**
10 **Or Excusable Neglect**

11 Code Civ. Proc. § 473(b) permits relief from an entry of default "taken against
12 [a party] through his or her mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect . . .
13 *unless* the court finds that the default or dismissal was not in fact caused by" the
14 same. (Emphasis added.)

15 Defendants' Motion states that such "excusable neglect" exists because
16 "Plaintiff [sic] counsel knew the identity of the Defendants' attorney, but failed to
17 provide a notice of actual entry of default." (Motion at 8.) Defendants further claim
18 that "Plaintiff [sic] attorney never served Defendant with the copy of the request to
19 enter default . . . , " that, "being unaware of the default entered against defendant by
20 clerk [sic] due to the failure of the Plaintiff to serve notice of the default entered,
21 Defendants' counsel filed an answer on 10/11/2022," and that "[o]n 11/30/2022, during
22 the hearing on Motion to compel arbitration, Defendants' attorney first time [sic]
23 learned about entry of the default." (Motion at 4.) All of these statements are false.
24 Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a copy of Plaintiff's Counsel's email to Defense
25 Counsel on October 6, 2022—five (5) days before Defendants filed their Answers, fifty-
26 five (55) days before the November 30 hearing, and eighty-five (85) days before the
27 filing of Defendants' Motion—providing copies of the Requests and corresponding
28 judgments.

1 Defendants' Motion further states that Plaintiff filed his Requests "secretly,"
2 and "without notifying defendants' counsel." (Motion at 4.) This is false for a related
3 but independent reason. As of the filing of the Requests, there was no "defendants'
4 counsel." Defense Counsel had not appeared in this case, nor was she accepting
5 service on behalf of the Defendants. Rather, as shown by **Exhibit B**, she had emailed
6 Plaintiff's Counsel a single time, on September 14, 2022, stating that she would
7 "potentially" represent the Defendants. Plaintiff's Counsel responded immediately
8 with their e-service list, and attempted to follow up with Defense Counsel on
9 September 21, 2022, but did not receive a response. (See **Exhibit C**.) Thus, as of
10 September 21, 2022, when Plaintiff filed his Requests, Defense Counsel had not
11 appeared on the record, filed any responsive pleading, nor confirmed that she was
12 representing the Defendants. Plaintiff therefore had no obligation to serve her with
13 copies of the Requests. Nevertheless, having not heard from Defense Counsel since
14 September 14, 2022, Plaintiff informed her on September 21, 2022, that the Requests
15 had been filed. Defense Counsel never responded to this email. (*Id.*) Yet Plaintiff still
16 provided copies of the granted Requests as a courtesy on October 6, 2022, as shown
17 by **Exhibit A**.

18 The Court should accordingly deny Defendants' motion because Defendants
19 have failed to establish that the Defaults were entered as a result of their mistake,
20 inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect.

21 **C. Defendants Had Actual Notice of the Action**

22 Defendants' Motion states that Defendant 5 Star K-9 Academy, Inc., was never
23 served with a copy of the Summons and Complaint, and that no Proof of Service to
24 that effect was ever filed with the Court. (Motion at 4.) This is false. Attached hereto
25 as **Exhibit D** is a conformed copy of the Proof of Service of the Summons and
26 Complaint as to 5 Star K-9 Academy, Inc., which Plaintiff filed with the Court on July
27 29, 2022.

28 ///

1 **III. CONCLUSION**

2 For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court deny
3 Defendants' Motion in its entirety. In the alternative, Plaintiff respectfully requests
4 that the Court impose a stay on Plaintiff's action until an arbitration is completed in
5 accordance with the order to arbitrate.

6
7 Respectfully submitted,

8
9 Dated: January 11, 2023

10
11 **LOYR, APC**



12 Young W. Ryu, Esq.
13 Joshua Park, Esq.
14 Henna H. Choi, Esq.
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff DYLAN YEISER-
16 FODNESS
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A



Harley Phleger <harley.phleger@loywr.com>

Re: Dylan Yeuser-Fodness Vs Master Dog Training 22STCV21852

Young W. Ryu <young.ryu@loywr.com>
To: Natalia Foley <nfoleylaw@gmail.com>
Cc: Harley Phleger <harley.phleger@loywr.com>

Thu, Oct 6, 2022 at 10:43 AM

Please see attached, as a courtesy.

On Mon, Oct 3, 2022 at 12:52 PM Young W. Ryu <young.ryu@loywr.com> wrote:
Tomorrow is the deadline to meet and confer on CMC. Will you take the service on behalf of the defendants?

On Mon, Oct 3, 2022 at 12:39 PM Young W. Ryu <young.ryu@loywr.com> wrote:
I can talk now, or at 4pm please. My cell is (310) 365-6306.

On Mon, Sep 26, 2022 at 1:50 PM Natalia Foley <nfoleylaw@gmail.com> wrote:
Is it possible to talk on the phone?
[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]



10-5-22 Judgment Granting Default (5 Star) - Dylan Yeuser-Fodness.pdf
621K

10-5-22 Judgment Granting Default (KOROTUN) - Dylan Yeuser-Fodness.pdf
606K

EXHIBIT B



Harley Phleger <harley.phleger@loywr.com>

Re: Dylan Yeuser-Fodness Vs Master Dog Training 22STCV21852

Young W. Ryu <young.ryu@loywr.com>

Wed, Sep 21, 2022 at 12:06 PM

To: Natalia Foley <nfoleylaw@gmail.com>

Cc: Harley Phleger <harley.phleger@loywr.com>, Marlin Gramajo <marlin.gramajo@loywr.com>, Martha Gutierrez <martha.gutierrez@loywr.com>

Counsel,

Following up on the E-service list email inquiry sent to you on 9/14/22. Also, it is unclear what extension you wanted - Answer to the complaint? which is overdue and I believe the **entry of default was already filed** with. Responses to the Discovery? I think it is overdue also, meaning all objections are waived.

Lastly, please confirm with us if you will take the service of summons and complaint with Notice of Acknowledgement and Receipt on behalf of Master Dog Training.

YWR

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT C



Harley Phleger <harley.phleger@loywr.com>

Re: Dylan Yeuser-Fodness Vs Master Dog Training 22STCV21852

Young W. Ryu <young.ryu@loywr.com>

Wed, Sep 14, 2022 at 4:41 PM

To: Natalia Foley <nfoleylaw@gmail.com>

Cc: Harley Phleger <harley.phleger@loywr.com>, Marlin Gramajo <marlin.gramajo@loywr.com>, Martha Gutierrez <martha.gutierrez@loywr.com>

Please add the following to your e-service list, if you agree to communicate via email:

young.ryu@loywr.com
harley.phleger@loywr.com
marlin.gramajo@loywr.com
martha.gutierrez@loywr.com

Thanks.

On [REDACTED] at 4:40 PM Natalia Foley <nfoleylaw@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi Counsel

I am an attorney who would [REDACTED] represent the defendants in the above case. I just received all the paperwork, and would like to ask for an extension so I can review the case. Also I would appreciate an opportunity to discuss the case with the handling attorney to evaluate a possibility of an early resolution.

Please let me know when is a good time to call

THank you

NATALIA FOLEY, Esq**Law Offices of Natalia Foley****Workers Defenders Law Group**

751 S WEIR CANYON RD STE 157-455

ANAHEIM CA 92808

Cell: 310 707 8098

Tel: 714 948 5054

Fax: 310 626 9632

email: workerlegalinfo@gmail.com

email: nfoleylaw@gmail.com

<https://www.facebook.com/WorkersDefenders><http://nataliafoleylaw.com>

"Making a false or fraudulent workers' compensation claim is a felony subject to up to 5 years in prison or a fine of up to \$50,000 or double the value of the fraud, whichever is greater, or by both imprisonment and fine." (Lab. Code § 5432(a); Ins. Code § 1871.4)

1/3/23, 4:15 PM

LOYR, APC Mail - Re: Dylan Yeuser-Fodness Vs Master Dog Training 22STCV21852

Conference meetings by appointments only:

155 N Riverview Dr

Anaheim CA 92808

Book Appointment: <https://workerlegal.acuityscheduling.com/schedule.php>

EXHIBIT D

<p>ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Young Ryu SBN 266372 LOYR, APC 3130 Wilshire Blvd 209 Los Angeles, CA 90010 TELEPHONE NO.: (888) 365-8686 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): young.ryu@loywr.com ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff</p>	<p>FOR COURT USE ONLY</p>
<p>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STREET ADDRESS: 111 N Hill St. MAILING ADDRESS: 111 N Hill St. CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90012 BRANCH NAME: STANLEY MOSK</p>	
<p>PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Dylan Yeiser-Fodness DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Master Dog Training, et al.</p>	<p>CASE NUMBER: 22STCV21852</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">PROOF OF SERVICE SUMMONS</p>	<p>Ref. No. or File No.: 22STCV21852</p>

(Separate proof of service is required for each party served.)

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
2. I served copies of: **Complaint; Summons; Civil Case Cover Sheet; Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location; Peremptory Challenge; ADR Information Packet**
3. a. Party served (specify name of party as shown on documents served): [REDACTED]
b. Person (other than the party in item 3a) served on behalf of an entity or as an authorized agent (and not a person under item 5b on whom substituted service was made) (specify name and relationship to the party named in item 3a): **By Serving Ekaterina Korotun, Agent for Service**
4. Address where the party was served: **5502 Penfield Ave, Woodland Hills, CA 91364**
5. I served the party (check proper box)
 - a. by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive service of process for the party (1) on: (2) at:
 - b. by substituted service. On: **7/27/2022** at: **11:00 AM** I left the documents listed in item 2 with or in the presence of (name and title or relationship to person indicated in item 3): **John Doe (Gender: M Age: 40 Height: 5'8" Weight: 150 Race: Caucasian Hair: Other:) Co-occupant**
 - (1) (business) a person at least 18 years of age apparently in charge at the office or usual place of business of the person to be served. I informed him or her of the general nature of the papers.
 - (2) (home) a competent member of the household (at least 18 years of age) at the dwelling house or usual place of abode of the party. I informed him or her of the general nature of the papers.
 - (3) (physical address unknown) a person of at least 18 years of age apparently in charge at the usual mailing address of the person to be served, other than a United States Postal Service post office box. I informed him or her of the general nature of the papers.
 - (4) I thereafter mailed (by first-class, postage prepaid) copies of the documents to the person to be served at the place where the copies were left (Code Civ. Proc., § 415.20). I mailed the documents: on: from: or a declaration of mailing is attached.

(5) I attach a declaration of diligence stating actions taken first to attempt personal service.

5. c. by mail and acknowledgment of receipt of service. I mailed the documents listed in item 2 to the party, to the address shown in item 4, by first-class mail, postage prepaid,
- (1) on: _____ (2) from: _____
- (3) with two copies of the *Notice and Acknowledgment of Receipt* and a postage-paid return envelope addressed to me. (*Attach completed Notice and Acknowledgment of Receipt.*) (Code Civ. Proc., § 415.30.)
- (4) to an address outside California with return receipt requested. (Code Civ. Proc., § 415.40.)
- d. by other means (specify means of service and authorizing code section):
 Additional page describing service is attached.

8. The "Notice to the Person Served" (on the summons) was completed as follows:

- a. as an individual defendant.
- b. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify): _____
- c. as occupant.
- d. On behalf of (specify): **5 Star K-9 Academy, Inc., a California corporation** under the following Code of Civil Procedure section:
- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 416.10 (corporation) | <input type="checkbox"/> 415.95 (business organization, form unknown) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 416.20 (defunct corporation) | <input type="checkbox"/> 416.60 (minor) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 416.30 (joint stock company/association) | <input type="checkbox"/> 416.70 (ward or conservatee) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 416.40 (association or partnership) | <input type="checkbox"/> 416.90 (authorized person) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 416.50 (public entity) | <input type="checkbox"/> 415.46 (occupant) |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> other: _____ |

7. **Person who served papers**

- a. Name: **Gerald Thompson**
- b. Address: **7162 Beverly Blvd Suite 508, Los Angeles, CA 90036**
- c. Telephone number: **800-687-5003**
- d. The fee for service was: **\$80.00**
- e. I am:
- (1) not a registered California process server.
- (2) exempt from registration under Business and Professions Code section 22350(b).
- (3) a registered California process server:
- (i) owner employee independent contractor.
- (ii) Registration No.: **1175**
- (iii) County: **Los Angeles**

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Dylan Yeiser-Fodness	CASE NUMBER: 22STCV21852
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Ekaterina Korotun	

B. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.


Gerald Thompson Date: 07/27/2022

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address) Young Ryu SEN 266372 LOYR, APC 3130 Wilshire Blvd 209 Los Angeles, CA 90010 ATTORNEY FOR Plaintiff		TELEPHONE NUMBER (888) 365-9686	FOR COURT USE ONLY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 111 N Hill St Los Angeles, CA 90012			
SHORT TITLE OF CASE: Yeiser-Fodness, Dylan v. Korotun, Ekaterina			
DATE	TIME	DEP/DIV.	CASE NUMBER: 22STCV21852
Declaration of Reasonable Diligence			Ref. No. or File No: 22STCV21852

Person to Serve: 5 Star K-9 Academy, Inc, a California corporation

Documents Received: Complaint; Summons; Civil Case Cover Sheet; Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location; Peremptory Challenge; ADR Information Packet

I declare the following attempts were made to effect personal service, no other residence or business address is known to me:

Jul 13 2022 04:30 PM 19401 Parthenia St Apt 4082, Northridge, CA 91324; Status Update: Secured apartment building. Was able to gain access to building, but no answer at the unit. Subject not listed on the intercom.

Jul 14 2022 07:20 AM 19401 Parthenia St Apt 4082, Northridge, CA 91324; Status Update: Unable to gain access to secured building. Waited.

Jul 16 2022 02:00 PM 19401 Parthenia St Apt 4082, Northridge, CA 91324; Status Update: Unable to gain access to secured building. Waited.

Jul 17 2022 06:10 AM 19401 Parthenia St Apt 4082, Northridge, CA 91324; Status Update: Unable to gain access to secured building. Waited.

Jul 19 2022 01:35 PM 5502 Penfield Ave, Woodland Hills, CA 91364; Status Update: Secured front gate. Unable to get to front door. No bell or callbox. 2 cars with LP#: 8JDG677 (red tesla) & CD63934 (white tesla). Packages with Def.'s names with 2 other packages for 2 different people for Maxim Daswo & Elena Korotun.

Jul 19 2022 06:40 PM 5502 Penfield Ave, Woodland Hills, CA 91364; Status Update: Same as last attempt. Cars had not been moved.

Jul 21 2022 07:00 AM 5502 Penfield Ave, Woodland Hills, CA 91364; Secured front gate. Unable to get to front door. No bell or callbox. Cars still in driveway.


Jul 23 2022 10:40 AM 5502 Penfield Ave, Woodland Hills, CA 91364; Status Update: Secured front gate. Unable to get to front door. No bell or callbox. Cars still in driveway.

Jul 27 2022 11:00 AM 19401 Parthenia St Apt 4082, Northridge, CA 91324; Sub-Served on co-occupant, John Doe.

Person attempting service:

- a. Name: Gerald Thompson
- b. Address: 7162 Beverly Blvd Suite 508, Los Angeles, CA 90036
- c. Telephone number: 800-687-5003
- d. The fee for this service was: 80.00
- e. I am an independent contractor.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.


Gerald Thompson Date: 07/27/2022

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address) Young Ryu SBN 266372 LOYR, APC 3130 Wilshire Blvd 209 Los Angeles, CA 90010 ATTORNEY FOR Plaintiff	TELEPHONE NUMBER (888) 365-8686	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 111 N Hill St Los Angeles, CA 90012			
SHORT TITLE OF CASE: Yeiser-Fodness, Dylan v. Korotun, Ekaterina			
DATE:	TIME:	DEP./DIV.:	CASE NUMBER: 22STCV21852
Proof of Service by Mail			Ref. No. or File No: 22STCV21852

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in the County of **Los Angeles** State of California. I am and was on the dates herein mentioned, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the action.

On **07/27/2022** after substituted service was made, I served the within:


Complaint; Summons; Civil Case Cover Sheet; Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location; Peremptory Challenge; ADR Information Packet

On the defendant, in said action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon pre-paid for first class in the United States mail At **Los Angeles**, California, addressed as follows:
5 Star K-9 Academy, Inc, a California corporation
5502 Penfield Ave, Woodland Hills, CA 91364

Person attempting service:

- a. Name: **Walter Lee**
- b. Address: **7162 Beverly Blvd Suite 508, Los Angeles, CA 90036**
- c. Telephone number: **800-687-5003**
- d. The fee for this service was: **80.00**
- e. I am:
 - (3) Not a registered California process server:
 - (i) Employee
 - (ii) Registration No.:
 - (iii) County: **Los Angeles**

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.



 Walter Lee Date: **07/27/2022**

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am over 18 years old and not a party to this action. My business address is 1055 West 7th Street, Suite 2290, Los Angeles, California 90017.

On January 11, 2023, I served the following documents in a sealed envelope on the interested party as follows:

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT

Natalia Foley
nfoleylaw@gmail.com
LAW OFFICES OF NATALIA FOLEY
751 S Weir Canyon Rd Ste 157-455
Anaheim CA 92808

Attorney for Defendants


BY U.S. MAIL:

I enclosed the foregoing document in a sealed envelope to the interest parties at the address listed above and deposited the sealed envelope for collection and mailing following my firm's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with my firm's business practices for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit.

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE:

My electronic service address is martha.gutierrez@loywr.com. Per the parties' agreement, through their respective counsel, to accept electronic service and pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6, I served the foregoing document on the interested party at the electronic service addresses (e-mail addresses) listed above and did not receive Notice of Failure

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on January 11, 2023, in Los Angeles, California.



Martha Gutierrez

LOYR, APC
1055 West 7th Street, Suite 2290
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Tel.: (213) 318-5323 | Fax: (800) 576-1170